of the DAILY , for

CITY OF WASHINGTON. TUESDAY MORNING, JANUARY 24, 1854.

" LIBERTY, THE UNION, AND THE CONSTITUTION."

CONGRESSIONAL.

SPEECH OF HON. J. M. CLAYTON,

Senate January 12 and 16, Ciayton-Bulwer Ireaty. Thursday, January,12, 1854.

Rica and New Grenada, which is still in dispute between

reals are those which describe the dependencies of her Majesty's settlement at Honduras; and there are no other "small islands" which can be considered as dependencies of Belize. In draughting my reply to Sir Henry Bulwer, when he filed his declaration, I restricted the

The property of the state of th

Now, after that, I expected, certainly, from the senator from Michigan, towards whom I had never held or cherished and unkind personal feeling in my life, that justice would have been done me on the Monday following. But what did he, sir? He came into the Senate of the United States on that day, and, as is asserted by Judge Bragg, a member of the House of Representatives at that time, and a friend of Colonel King, from Alabama, he "reiterated" the allegations against me. He did not retract them. Was it not due to me that he should have

"reiterated" the allegations against me. He did not retract them. Was it not due to me that he should have done that? He says he did not visit Colonel King again; but should he not have done so? Did he not owe it to me, did he not owe it to the cause of justice and truth, to go and see Colonel King, and obtain from him his denial or explanation of the statement which had been made on the floor of the Senate?

Mr. CASS Mr. President, I have not interrupted the honorable member bithertor; but I wish to ask him to allow me to say a word now.

Mr. CLAYTON. Certainly.

Mr. CASS. I did not mean to interrupt the honorable member at all; but I must say to him, that if he had attended to my remarks, he would have seen that I explained that matter. I explained it, as I thought, in a manner satisfactory to every gentleman here. I said that my statement on that point was disputed; everybody knows that it was made a question of veracity. I came here on the morning to which the senator alludes, and said—what? Why, simply, that Col King told me what I had before stated that he told me. My object was to vindicate myself. I said at the time that I believed the note had been written; that the senator from Delaware was an honorable man; and that, although there was evidently some mistake about the matter, he had the note of Colonel King, or he would not have said so. That is what I said. My object was to redeem myself from the charge of having made a misstatement.

Mr. CLAYTON. Exactly.

Mr. CASS. While I am on the floor, permit me to say that when I stated yesterday that Mr. Bragg said that Colonel King told me what I did say, I made the statement on the authority of Mr. Bragg's card, as published in the Intelligencer, where the honorable senator can read it when he pleases.

Mr. CLAYTON. I will call the geutleman's attention

The [liowing is the note of July 4th :